

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/661,667	09/12/2003	Brent L. Evans	Evans 03-1023	9140	
75	90 09/22/2004		EXAMINER		
Frank D. Lachenmaier			DEVORE, PETER T		
Suite 200 116 N. Main St.			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
P.O. Box 1047			3751		
Kokomo, IN	16903-1047		DATE MAILED: 09/22/2004	DATE MAILED: 09/22/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/661,667	EVANS, BRENT L.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Peter T deVore	3751	_
The MAILING DATE of this communica Period for Reply	tion appears on the cover sheet wi	th the correspondence address	••
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATE. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 3 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communicate if the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) decreased if NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statute. Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATION. 7 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a recation. ays, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirt by period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON, by statute, cause the application to become AB	eply be timely filed y (30) days will be considered timely. THS from the mailing date of this communi ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	cation.
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed of	on		
3) Since this application is in condition for closed in accordance with the practice			ts is
Disposition of Claims			
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-5 is/are pending in the appli 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are 5)☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-5 is/are rejected. 7)☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8)☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction	withdrawn from consideration.		
Application Papers			
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the E	xaminer.		
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)□ accepted or b)□ objected to	by the Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection	n to the drawing(s) be held in abeyar	ice. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the 11) The oath or declaration is objected to be	•	,	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority do 2. Certified copies of the priority do 3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International	cuments have been received. cuments have been received in A the priority documents have been	pplication No	9
* See the attached detailed Office action for	or a list of the certified copies not	received.	
Amoshor and/a)		-	
Attachment(s) 1) X Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview S	Summary (PTO-413)	
 Notice of Neterences Ched (170-032) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PT Paper No(s)/Mail Date 9/12/2003. 	-948) Paper No(s	s)/Mail Date formal Patent Application (PTO-152)	

Application/Control Number: 10/661,667

Art Unit: 3751

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Vidovic.

The Vidovic reference discloses a toothbrush (Figure 2) comprising a head with a head channel 20, bristles 28, orifices (within pad 24), a handle with a handle channel 22, a toothpaste tube 24, a retaining ring 15, and a cover 16 with an opening rim and and bosses (see bosses which engage retaining ring 15 in Figure 2).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 2-4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Vidovic in view of Vizsolyi.

The Vidovic reference discloses a toothbrush as discussed supra, but remains silent as to the colors of the toothbrush or the material from which the

Application/Control Number: 10/661,667 Page 3

Art Unit: 3751

toothbrush is made. However, attention is directed to the Nelson reference, which discloses a similar toothbrush which is available in different colors to assist in brush identification and which is also made from plastic (see col. 2, lines 60-67). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to make the Vidovic toothbrush available in different colors in view of Vizsolyi to assist in brush identification and to make the Vidovic toothbrush from plastic in view of Vizsolyi since it has been held to be within the general skill of a worker in the art to select a known material on the basis of its suitability for the intended use as a matter of obvious design choice. In Re Leshin, 125 USPQ 416.

Claim 5 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Vidovic in view of Vizsolyi as applied to claim 3 above, and further in view of Catanzaro.

The Vidovic reference discloses a toothbrush as discussed supra, but does not disclose that the cover is supplied with a cartoon character. However, attention is directed to the Catanzaro reference, which discloses a similar toothbrush having a cover supplied with a cartoon character (see Figure 1), for the amusement of children. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to supply the cover of the modified Vidovic toothbrush with a cartoon character in view of Catanzaro for the amusement of children.

Conclusion

Application/Control Number: 10/661,667 Page 4

Art Unit: 3751

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Peter T deVore whose telephone number is (703) 306-5481. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Gregory Huson can be reached on (703) 308-2580. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

PdPd

DAVID J. WALCZAK PRIMARY EXAMINER